

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**AMBASSADOR PUBLICATIONS, L.L.C.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**ANSWER**

**-against-**

**REACH LOCAL INC., STEPHAN CESARINI,  
PAUL DEBIASE, LAWRENCE STONE,  
ADVANCED ROI, ANDREW KNIGHT and  
DOUGLAS KELLEHER,**

**Index No.:  
07 Civ 05687 (LTS)**

**Defendants,**

-----X

Defendant **LAWRENCE STONE** ("Defendant") by his attorneys Curto, Schwartz, Curto Bond & Vomvolakis LLP, as and for his answer to plaintiff's complaint states the following upon information and belief:

1. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "6", "9", "11", "45", "46", "47", "48", "49", "51", "53", "54", "55", "56", "57", "66", "75", "76", "79", "80", "81", "82", "83", "84", "85", "89", "91", "92", "103", "104", "109" and "110" of plaintiff's complaint.

2. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the allegations contained in paragraphs "1", "2", "3", "7", "8", "12" through "44", "50", "52", "58" through "65", "67" through "74", "77", "78", "86", "87", "88", "90", "93" through "102", "105" through "108" of plaintiff's complaint.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

3. Defendant did not sign a Proprietary Information and Inventions Agreement an/or initial all pages of said agreement and any such initialing and/or signature was a forgery.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

4. *Assuming arguendo* that defendant did sign a Proprietary Information and Inventions Agreement, Sections 1.1 (Restrictions on Proprietary Information) and 1.3 (Unfair Competitive Practices) are not applicable to Defendant because he was not “terminated” by plaintiff but instead “resigned” (as distinguished in Ambassador Publications, LLC Sales Guidelines Effective February 1, 2006) from his employment with plaintiff.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

5. Any alleged covenant to not compete signed by Defendant is not enforceable because it is not reasonable in that it is too broad in scope of prohibited activity, temporal duration and geographical restrictions. Furthermore, defendant’s services as a salesperson for plaintiff were not special or unique and any alleged proprietary or confidential information (i.e., customer list) was obtainable through publicly available sources. Moreover, any alleged customer solicitation would have occurred after Defendant had resigned from his employment with Plaintiff.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

6. Any alleged cause of action for trade libel is barred because plaintiff did not have a protectable economic interest, the alleged libelous statement was true, the statements were non-actionable expression of opinion, or no pecuniary loss was suffered as a result of the statement.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

7. Any alleged cause of action for tortuous interference with contractual relations and/or prospective contractual relations is barred because all of plaintiff's subject contracts with its clients that he allegedly solicited and/or obtained were voidable and/or terminable at will by the client and/or plaintiff and said contracts automatically expired within a limited time period. Furthermore, alleged acts of persuasion to advance defendant's own competing interests without fraud and/or wrongful criminal conduct will preclude a claim for tortuous interference with prospective contractual relations.

**AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8. Any actions allegedly amounting to an alleged breach of fiduciary duty occurred after Defendant's employment with plaintiff had ended.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

9. This action should be dismissed because another action is presently pending against said defendant for the same and/or similar relief requested in the complaint herein presently pending in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York bearing index number 600937-07.

**AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

10. This defendant hereby incorporates by reference any and/all defenses asserted by any other co-defendants in this action as if fully set forth herein at length.

**WHEREFORE**, it is respectfully requested that this Court enter a judgment dismissing plaintiff's complaint and awarding defendant the costs and disbursements associated with this action, along with any further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Yonkers, New York  
September 11, 2007

**CURTO, SCHWARTZ, CURTO,  
BOND & VOMVOLAKIS, LLP**



By: MICHAEL J. CURTO, ESQ. (MC-1338)

*Attorneys for Defendant*

The Hudson Valley Bank Building  
35 East Grassy Sprain Road  
Yonkers, New York 10710  
E-Mail: MJC@CSCBV.COM  
(914) 779-1100

To: Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
199 Water Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 232-1300



Index No.: 07-CIV 05687 (LTS)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

AMBASSADOR PUBLICATIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

REACHLOCAL, INC., STEPHAN CESARINI,  
PAUL DEBIASE, LAWRENCE STONE, ADVANCED ROI,  
ANDREW KNIGHT and DOUGLAS KELLEHER,

Defendants.

---

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

---

CURTO, SCHWARTZ, CURTO, BOND & VOMVOLAKIS, LLP

By: 

Michael J. Curto, Esq. (MC-1338)

Attorney for Defendant

THE HUDSON VALLEY BUILDING

35 EAST GRASSY SPRAIN ROAD

YONKERS, NEW YORK 10710

914-779-1100

---

*PLEASE TAKE NOTICE*

Notice of Entry

☐ that the within is a (certified) true copy of a \_\_\_\_\_ entered in the office of the  
clerk of the within named Court on \_\_\_\_\_ 2007.

Notice of Settlement

☐ that a \_\_\_\_\_ of which the within is a true copy, will be presented for settlement to  
the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_, one of the judges of the within named court on \_\_\_\_\_, 2007

CURTO, SCHWARTZ, CURTO, BOND & VOMVOLAKIS, LLP

*Attorneys for*

The Hudson Valley Building

35 East Grassy Sprain Road

Yonkers, New York 10710

914-779-1100